

Old English Scratched Glosses in Cotton Ms. Tiberius C. ii

Author(s): Herbert Meritt

Source: The American Journal of Philology, Vol. 54, No. 4 (1933), pp. 305-322

Published by: The Johns Hopkins University Press

Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/289788

Accessed: 08/01/2014 05:03

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



The Johns Hopkins University Press is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to The American Journal of Philology.

http://www.jstor.org

## AMERICAN

## JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGY

Vol. LIV, 4

WHOLE No. 216

## OLD ENGLISH SCRATCHED GLOSSES IN COTTON MS. TIBERIUS C. ii.

This contribution is made as one result of an examination of manuscripts which I have undertaken with the aim of adding to the Old English glosses and to Old English lexicography. The reason for this lay partly in the fact that for Old English glosses there has never been made a comprehensive search of manuscripts such as that, for instance, which yielded the five volumes of Die althochdeutschen Glossen. Many glosses have indeed been published. The Brussels Aldhelm MS. 1650 and the Boulogne Prudentius MS. 189 alone contain over six thousand Old English glosses which were early published as journal The large glossaries, such as the Corpus and Epinal and those in the Wright-Wülcker collection, provide a rich store of material. Napier's Old English Glosses contains a great number of more scattered glosses. It does not, however, represent an examination of all possible sources. Napier considered it a small instalment towards the future Corpus of OE. glosses and in the preface remarked that, in addition to what had been published, there must still be many glosses to be found in Latin manuscripts. More recently the recognition of the considerable Old English content in the Old High German glosses has extended the field of search for Old English glosses. The collection of OHG. glosses by Steinmeyer and Sievers brought to the attention of philologists Old English in many scattered Continental libraries, and while much has been done in the way of bringing together and explaining this material,1 the work is

<sup>1</sup>Cf. especially Leydecker, Über Beziehungen zwischen ahd. und ags. Glossen, and Michiels, Über englische Bestandteile altdeutscher Glossenhandschriften.

305

not finished. Occasional journal articles show that new glosses are to be found both in England and on the Continent, and this has also been my experience.<sup>2</sup>

In the course of examining many manuscripts I have come upon some glosses scratched in the parchment without ink. Napier has published a considerable number of such glosses in his Old English Glosses and chapter five of that book deals exclusively with scratched glosses. He has noted that these are sometimes quite plain, sometimes visible only when the MS. is held at a certain angle, and at times quite illegible. Recently a considerable number of Old High German scratched glosses have been published,3 many from manuscripts from which the inked glosses had previously been collected. Good eyes, a steady gaze, and especially patience are given as requisites for such work. In the manuscripts which I have seen containing scratched glosses, if the parchment is thick and smooth, the letters are impressed much as if they were in wax, but if the parchment is rough or thin, the point of the writing implement has often torn the surface and since it then did not move so evenly the letters may be jagged. In the latter case the glosses can be readily seen and a strong glass brings out doubtful letters. The former case is deceptive. A page of thick smooth parchment which, looked at from one angle, seems to be devoid of glosses may, if held at a different angle, show four or five legible ones. It is essential that the light strike the page at an angle which will make the impressed letters appear most clearly. Good eyesight is of course a necessity for reading these glosses, but much straining of the eyes is avoided by shifting the manuscript until the best angle of vision is found.

In his edition of Bede's Ecclesiastical History 4 Plummer has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Cf. for example Förster, Spätae. Prosper-Glossen in Cambridge, Archiv 136, 290; Die altenglische Glossenhandschrift Plantinus 32 (Antwerpen) und Additional 32246 (London), Anglia 41, 94 ff.; Craster, The Glosses of the Codex Oxoniensis Posterior, Revue Celtique 40, 135-6; Schlutter, Altenglisch-althochdeutsches aus dem Codex Trevirensis No. 40, Anglia 35, 145 ff.; Altenglisches aus Leidener Handschriften, Anglia 33, 239 ff. The final results of my work I hope to publish as a book.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Bischoff and Lehmann, Nachträge zu den althochdeutschen Glossen, Beiträge zur Geschichte der deutschen Sprache und Literatur, Bd. 52, pp. 153 ff.

<sup>4</sup> Vol. 1, p. xciii.

listed the folios from Cotton Tiberius C. ii on which he noticed scratched glosses, and this fact is mentioned in Napier's Old English Glosses.<sup>5</sup> Having worked on scratched glosses in manuscripts in Munich, Basel, and St. Gall, I followed this reference and found that there were more glosses in this manuscript than in any other one that I had seen. In it I have read four hundred and one glosses.<sup>6</sup> There are traces of about thirty others,<sup>7</sup> but they are too faint to be read.

In some of the glosses the letters are small and neat but in others the letters are large and at times rather scrawling. They are the work of at least two glossators, which appears not only from the difference in the writing but also from the fact that some words are glossed twice, once above and once on the margin. In one or two cases one gloss has been scratched over another. It is difficult to determine any particular kind of hand in the scratched glosses, a fact which prevents any definite statement about their date. They show traces of the Kentish dialect, which is not surprising as there is Kenticism in the inked glosses in the manuscript.

Cotton Tiberius C. ii is an eighth-century manuscript, 156 folios in double columns, containing Bede's Ecclesiastical His-

- <sup>8</sup> P. xxxiii.
- <sup>6</sup> Since writing this, I have read about the same number of scratched glosses in the Sedulius section of the Corpus Christi Cambridge MS. 173.
  - <sup>7</sup> This does not include a few Latin scratched glosses.
- <sup>8</sup> Annotations and corrections in ink have been made by a hand nearly contemporary with the text and by another hand of the 10th century. It is highly probable that these two scribes put in some of the scratched glosses. This is especially likely since in some cases where a correction has been made in ink there is also a scratched gloss the first letters of which show a trace of ink, as if the glossator, having used the writing implement with ink, continued with it to scratch in the OE. gloss. I think that none of the glosses are later than the tenth century.
- ° The chief feature is the use of the e vowel (1) for WS.  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ : hele 6r, were 8r, wete 18r, ungeweran 52r, gebrece 63r, wepnedmonnes 69r, mere 76v, bel 77v, mere 79r, dem 94v, erende 95r, wete 110r, lessan 117v, edre 120v, se 130r, weran 133r, wetan 137v, (2) for WS.  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ : herne 9v, ferelde 10r, fegernesse 10v, berdan 78r, scer (in legetscer) 97v, segde 131r, fegernisse 142v, (3) for WS.  $\bar{\mathbf{y}}$  (i-umlaut of  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ a): heran 9v, (4) for WS.  $\bar{\mathbf{y}}$ : hemsald 76v.

tory. On folios 5r, 34v, 60v, and 124v are late ninth-century Old English glosses (in ink) which have been published by Sweet in *The Oldest English Texts*. Most of the scratched glosses are interlinear. Those occurring elsewhere I have referred to in the foot-notes. 11

$5\mathbf{v}$	aduersa	togenes	$9,4^{12}$	et 14 rubicundi 7 reades
	opima	wealig 13	9, 16	10, 10
6r	issicio	leaxe	10, 4	et 14 purpurei 7 beswes
	et 14 ang	uilla ō hele	15	10, 10
			10, 5	et 14 hyiacinctini 7 hæwes
	uituli ma	arini s <mark>ealas</mark>	10, 6	10, 10
	delfines	hronas 16	10, 6	et prassini 7 grenes 10, 10
	et 14 bal	lenae 7 hua	las	coccinei coloris hæw-
			10, 6	mængedes hiowes 17 10, 12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Pp. 179 ff. Published again, with the quantity of the vowels marked, by Holthausen, *Archiv* 136, 290-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> In addition to general discussion, I have also used the foot-notes (1) to discuss glosses not documented in the Bosworth-Toller *Dictionary* and *Supplement*, and the 1931 edition of Hall's *Dictionary*, such glosses being designated with an asterisk by the reference number;

<sup>(2)</sup> using the sign =, to give a more normal spelling for some glosses; (3) using the sign Read, to give a correct spelling for some glosses

<sup>(3)</sup> using the sign *Read*, to give a correct spelling for some glosses evidently miswritten by the scribe.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The numbers at the right refer to page and line of Plummer's edition, Oxford, 1896. In counting lines I have not included chapter-headings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> In each gloss in which w occurs, this letter is represented in the MS. by the Old English runic character for w.

<sup>14</sup> In ligature in the MS.

 $<sup>^{16} =</sup> ele$ . There are some other examples of additional initial h among these glosses: herne 9v, hæðelan 71v, hic 87r, hellenbogan 128r, hincan 129v, hin 138v. Also some with initial h lacking: lide 109v, luttran 130v; alga, lutran 131r. For other such cases cf. OEG. xxx. The loss or addition of initial h is not distinctly dialectical as it occurs in WS., Kent., and North.; cf. Sievers, Grammatik, 3 aufl., p. 111.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Above *marini*. In the case of a few incomplete glosses I have added letters in italics when there is no trace of more in the MS. If there is a trace of additional letters in the MS., I have added letters in brackets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17\*</sup> Compound of hāwe and pp. of mængan, literally purple-mixed. For the interpretation of coccineum as a combination of colors of coccineum brunbasne, OEG. 5125. In combination with a color-word mængedes may be interpreted tinged, in which case the gloss is analogous in formation with brūnfāg and rēadfāh.

boreales per plagas		confertissimum ðæt	
ðurh ða eastdælas	11, 2	we aligost e	14, 29
6v sibi uindicarunt		to rennianne 22	15, 4
agnodan 18	11, 21	in deditionem in	
magno ęquore mid		onwalde	15, 9
micle brime	11, 34 8	v distinguenda to del-	
7r petentes gesecende	12, 10	ende	16, 20
ita ut raro 🛮 ðaete	9	r in perniciem in deað	17, 13
seldan <sup>18</sup>	12, 27	in prouectum in ða	
expers orlete 19	13, 7	gescildnisse <sup>23</sup>	17, 14
ceruorum heorata	13, 7	suspicionem resunge	
7v nauibus actuariis			17, 16
cnearrum	13, 25	artifici neglegentia	
8r humani femoris		mid searacræft[e]	17, 17
monnes deoes	14, 18	mentionem gemynd	18, 8
grossae greate	14, 18 9	v ęmulari onhyrgan	18, 16
m dear phensum arasad	14, 20	penes mid	18, 21
abdidere adaeglad 20	14, 22	ad tugurium to	
obsidib: gislu—	14, 24	ðaem herne 24	18, 23
in foedus in were	14, 26	aris aet ðaem wi-	
inter duas paludes		bedum	19, 2
biteh tu fen	14, 27	ultro mid willan	19, 4
obtentu fore[f]eng-		discrimine ō freced-	
nisse <sup>21</sup>	14, 28	nissum	19, 5

<sup>18</sup> Under the Latin.

<sup>19 =</sup> orhlete, cf. orhlyte expers, BT. and orhlet expers, BT. Sup.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20\*</sup> Cf. BT. diglian with prefix be and ge. In this and several other cases the glossator seems to have added a prefix to make the gloss correspond as closely as possible with the Latin. The writing ae I take to be scribal for ea, cf. celare bedeahlian, Kent. Glos. 952 (ZfdA. xxi).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21\*</sup> There is space for several letters after fore, but nothing visible before (f). I take it as a literal translation, fore for ob and fengnisse for tentu as if from teneo. fengness occurs in and- and onfengness. The glosses sub obtentu under intingan, WW. 482, 31, obtentu gewilnunge, for begeat, OEG. 2698 show a different interpretation of obtentu. Here it means a protective skirting (of woods): obtentu insuper siluarum munitum.

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$  This gloss occurs above a Latin word in which there has been an erasure and correction. Edited text: expeditionem. In the MS. the first i is written above in darker ink and the letter d is doubtful, seemingly t corrected in darker ink to d. Above is scratched the Old English gloss, infinitive of regnian and meaning to be put in order, referring to the incorrectness of the Latin word probably as originally written

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> The glossator has taken prouectum as protectionem.

 $<sup>^{24} =</sup> erne$ ; cf. note to hele, 6r.

	parere heran	19, 15	13v trementi byfende 26 28, 1
10r	afficeretur wereged	19, 34	adcelerantur efstende
	meatu ferelde	20, 5	28, 6
	ocius þi hradost	20, 14	15r diuortii mislimpes 32, 11
10 <b>v</b>	collega gefera	20, 24	18r antestitum frumne 27
	decentissima mid		38, 9
	$\delta am$ gedæfenestan	20, 28	madidus wete 38, 20
	depictus afæd	20, 29	20v aptum gescrepe 28 44, 5
	ęquoris emnesse	20, 32	22r coacticium nyd 47, 31
	pro insita specie fore		38r eruti generede 29 80, 18
	[ðæm] gesettan		49v dematura 30 of
	megwl[it]e	20, 33	ðeare ripan 31 105, 31
	uenustatis feger-		50r propitiationis milse 32
	nesse 25	20, 33	106, 19
	meatu utgange	21, 2	52r infesti des ungeweran **
	arduo heah[r]e	21, 5	110, 22
	nutu mægene	21, 16	56r qua conuenit sua
	referre bringan	21, 22	hit g 34 119, 13
	opinabatur hogde	21, 23	subrogare under 25 119, 33
llr	perfecto agone		58r uirus ater <sup>36</sup> 123, 25
	öorhtogene compe	22, 4	obolita 37 est wes
12r	edax etende	24, 11	aðilgad 123, 30
13r	conspicum swutul	27, 21	conamen ongin 124, 1
	sumptu gafol	27, 21	actuali peccato
			wyfcinde <sup>38</sup> 124, 9

 $<sup>^{25}\,\</sup>mathrm{Two}$  spaces above, the immediate space being taken by preceding gloss.

<sup>26</sup> In margin at right.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Under the Latin.

<sup>28</sup> In bottom margin.

<sup>29</sup> There are traces of ink in the gloss.

<sup>30</sup> Taken by glossator as de matura.

<sup>31</sup> In bottom margin.

<sup>32</sup> In left margin.

 $<sup>^{38} =</sup> ungepweran$ . In the two cases in these glosses where p and w might come together (this and awogan, 118v) p is dropped. The characters for p and w are at times so similarly made that one might say the w is dropped. But in the character p the non-vertical stroke is usually rounded whereas the character for w is usually written p (as in these two glosses).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Perhaps gerisneð, cf. conuenire gerisnian, WW. 366, 37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> I know of no OE. equivalent for subrogare to complete this gloss.

<sup>36</sup> In left margin.

<sup>37</sup> Ed. abolita.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> In bottom margin preceded by a reference mark  $\hbar$ . Above the Latin is scratched wyf  $\delta$ . The OE. is not a direct gloss but an interpretation of the general idea of the passage, which has to do with Adam and original sin.

58v atrocitate reetnesse	89	65v progenitoribus 45	
	125, 12	ieldrum	138, 1
articulo liðe	125, 26	maiorum suorum 45	ŕ
61r inparatum on un-	,	his foregengena	138, 2
waer 40	128, 4	dicione ræd	138, 7
61v arcendos werganne	129, 27	in dicione in onwald	46
63r reumate dam gebree	ce 41		138, 7
	132, 4	sublimatus hyd 47	138, 8
nimirum butan twe	on	uotum witede 48	138, 24
	132, 12	66r ueluti su[æ]suæ	139, 1
confluebant		66v inibi þara	139, 28
tosomne becomon	132, 19	67v supra meminimus	
freto brime	132, 32	ufen mænde[n] 49	142, 21
plagas hleafas 42	132, 33	68v de catalogo of getæl	e
63v arduis wiþerdynū	133, 9		145, 4
iugis 48 singalū	133, 9	oboleri 50 beon	
propagata		adilgade <sup>51</sup>	145, 5
forbatyddrede **	134, 8	69r uirilis we[p]ned-	
64r inusitato ungewun	е	monnes	145, 22
Ç	134, 13	et 52 stramine ō ðy	
n— extitit næs n	ıa	sadele 53	145, 34
	134, 15	neptem nifte	146, 14
	•	*	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Read rednesse. Cf. this passage in the OE. version of the *History* (Grein, *Bibliothek* 4, 185a, 12) mid wildeorlice rednesse.

<sup>40</sup> Under the Latin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Of two different meanings for *rheuma* the glossator has taken the wrong one. In the context it means *tide*. Both meanings are given in the *Epinal Glossary: rema stream, reuma gibrec*, p. 22, ed. Schlutter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Read *healfe*. The glossator's carrying the lemma in mind accounts for the misplaced l and the unusual masculine ending.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Ablative plural of *iugum*. The glossator took it as the adjective *iugis*.

<sup>44\*</sup> Cf. BT. týdran, with prefix á, on, ge and see note to adaeglad, 8r.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Both gloss and lemma scratched in bottom margin.

<sup>46</sup> Both gloss and lemma in top margin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Past participle of hỹn. Cf. BT. Sup. under heán, and porrectam gehydne, OEG. 8, 237.

<sup>48</sup> Both gloss and lemma scratched in bottom margin.

<sup>49</sup> In top margin.

<sup>50</sup> Ed. aboleri.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> In margin at left.

<sup>52</sup> In ligature in MS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> The glossator has interpreted *stramine* rather well. The Bede MSS. vary at this point mainly between *stramine substrato* and *stramine subtracto* (cf. Plummer 1, 145, note 5). The oldest MSS., including Cott. Tib. C. ii, support the latter reading. Plummer (2, 154)

69v carr	o waegn	146, 19		trabium	baema	159, 5
70r reor	ic deema	147, 28		tignorum	hrofa 57	159, 5
71v incl	ti uiri 🐧	æs	76v	pedagogos	magata	58
h	eðelan 54 were	[s]				162, 21
		152, 5		(regni)	infulas	
72r ad a	rticulum to			eaðelnes	se 59	163, 20
ð	em liðe <sup>55</sup>	153, 3		insignis	mere	163, 22
73r ratu	s est wæs de	mede 56		eruentes	${ m utl} { m æden} de$	163, 6
		155, 9		opimo ge	enixsume 60	163, 9
74v tem	otabant cunn	adan		uallatus	hemsald 61	163, 10
		158, 12	77r	incitament	o onwece	e 62
obsi	dione warde	159, 2				163, 28

suggests that stramen is used incorrectly for stragulus in the sense of saddle or horse-cloth. The OE. version of the History renders the passage p gebæte of ateah and pæne bridel of ateah, GW. Bibliothek 4, 230ab, 4.

<sup>54</sup> For initial h cf. note to hele, 6r.

<sup>55</sup> Beneath the Latin. Here as in the case of gebrece 63r, the OE. is a correct gloss for the lemma taken by itself but not in the context where it means to the point: crescente corporis molestia ad articulum subeundae mortis compellor. The OE. version of the History (GW. Bibliothek 4, 249a, 2) reads: peos hefignes mines lichoman swiδe weaxeδ p ic eom nyded p ic sceal hraδe deaδ underhnigan. It is doubtful if a phrase to δωm liδe was ever used in speech to convey the idea that ad articulum here does.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> In margin at right.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Ræfter is a more usual gloss for tignum but cf. tigillo, .i. tigno, hrofe, OEG. 2, 110.

<sup>58</sup> This may be a corruption of magistras. The OE. History has magistras 7 lare for pedagogos ac magistros, GW. Bibliothek 4, 273b, 18. I think however that the glossator meant it as singular and that it is for magateau, a compound of mago, child and pēow, servant, formed on the analogy of lāttēow. Cf. pedagogus lateow, OEG. 5154; pedagogis latteow, 3358. The ending of this word varied greatly as may be seen by the forms documented under ládteów in BT. Among the Kentish glosses occurs lateau. That a gloss to pedagogus might contain a word for child appears from paedagogus cildahyrde, WW. 163, 43.

 $<sup>^{59} =</sup> a\delta elnesse.$ 

 $<sup>^{60} =</sup> genihtsume.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> = embsald, p. p. of embsellan (WS. ymb-). For the initial h cf. note to hele, 6r and for em instead of emb note emhferte for embhwerfte, Kent. Glos. 271.

 $<sup>^{62*}</sup>$  Similar to *onwece* but apparently from a nominative singular in n is *onwaccano*, documented once in BT., from the *Durham Ritual*, where it translates *incitamenta*.

sermonis myðels <sup>63</sup>	163, 28		caumate	$\mathbf{suole}$	167, 23
indefessus unwerig	164, 6	1	$_{ m negotiis}$	scire	167, 32
amoenum wynsum	64	1	probatione	cunnong	a
•	164, 13		=		168, 4
nobilissimo aeðelra	ın <sup>65</sup>	]	heremitica	m west-	•
	164, 18		enselte,7	westenli-	
animo nobilior	ĺ		cum 72		168, 4
[ge]hogde 66 æðelr	a 7	79r	patricio	aldermen 7	8
-0 - 0	164, 19		-		168, 11
accussationib: leas	son 67	:	in loco	in mere 74	168, 12
	165, 3			wraslicra 7	-
inprobi gemalice	165, 3				168, 22
77v imo nyðernesse	165, 19		attigimus	ðeghen	168, 27
rogus bel, des beel	68		notius 76		
g ,	166, 1		cognomine	frinomo	n
78r potitos gewelgade	39		O		169, 5
• 0	166, 14		metas g	emearce	169, 8
torrebant berdan 70	166, 24 8		_	$\mathbf{arasede}$	170, 31
78v glacie constrictum	•			ım munst	
ise fæst	167, 20				171, 12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> The y for x in  $my\delta els$  is perhaps Kentish, cf. lyssan for  $l\bar{x}ssan$ , Kent. Glos. 1100.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> For wyn the MS. has only the runic character, which represents either w or wyn, in this case the latter.

<sup>65</sup> Under the Latin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Read gehigde. The noun is meant but confusion with the verb gehogde may have caused the writing o for i.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> = leasum. Evidently taken by the glossator as false accusations. For another case of departure from usual inflection cf. doman, 86v.

<sup>68</sup> Second gloss in bottom margin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> In bottom margin preceded by ħ.

<sup>70</sup> Read berndan.

<sup>71</sup> Read westensetle. The glossator took his lemma as eremitam.

<sup>72\*</sup> In lower margin. Here eremiticam is correctly taken as adjective. Westensetla is a usual gloss for eremita and eremitica would suggest a \*westensetlalic, but the glossator probably interpreted the lemma merely as solitary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> MS. almen but der is scratched in left margin.

<sup>74</sup> In the group in loco latineaco nominato, the gloss is above the last word. I take it as Kentish for mære, cf. in mærum in uicos, BT. under mære.

<sup>75</sup> In bottom margin. = wræstlicra.

<sup>76</sup> MS. notius.

<sup>77</sup> Read cubra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Above and also in bottom margin.

80v	in aequitate in reht	nes 79	af	fricam	suut 84	184, 24
		172, 5	as	ssiam	east	184, 25
	uili yfilicum	172, 6	oł	bstinati	onis eorum	
	inculcaret spyrede	172, 11		hero a	nwilnesse	184, 28
	ecclesiam folc	172, 28	86r al	bdicare	wiðcueðar	1
81v		174, 24				185, 7
	uotis willum	175, 12	86v ac	d d	lecreta to	
82r	uiror calami growi	nes		ðem do	man 85	186, 17
	hr[eodes]	175, 17	elı	minatis	asuorben	186, 31
	commorari [o]near	dan <sup>80</sup>	87r sa	puit	wiste	187, 1
		175, 19	ñ	desis	to hic ne	•
	normam bisene	175, 31		ablinno	•	187, 10
	adquiesceret geoafe	ede	87v de	ecreta	domas	188, 9
		176, 5	pe	erorante	sprecund	um 86
	educatus afeded	176, 8				188, 21
83r	possessiunculis boc	land	sta	atutis	hatum <sup>87</sup>	189, 1
		178, 25			$\mathbf{gemote}$	189, 8
	suppeteret g[e]sett				iae neeð-	
		178, 29		nesse 88		190, 19
84r	nefaria 81 maanlice	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<b>puideri</b>	
	secto gehewenre	•			n	190, 28
84v	confligens feohtende				aanfalde	•
		181, 19			hæra 89	192, 31
	inquisitionem soocn				his geferan	
		181, 20	90r in	cedendo	gonende <sup>9</sup>	
85v	praefatione forespr					195, 21
		183, 27	-		medmicel	197, 9
	exorsus × 83 spre-				gerece	198, 1
	cende wes	184, 19	ziz	zaniam	$\mathbf{wyod}$	198, 6

 $<sup>^{79}</sup>$  t is scratched over part of the final stroke of h. The first three letters of this gloss are peculiarly written, there being an ornamental left-slanting stroke across the top of the first stroke of each letter.

 $<sup>^{80}</sup>$  In bottom margin preceded by +. The first stroke of the first n is high as in h and has the additional stroke noticed in in rehtnes, 80v; cf. oneardedon for commorari in this passage in the OE. version, GW. Bibliothek 4, 300a, 22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> Ed. nefarie.

 $<sup>^{82}</sup>$  MS. Ftende. The runic character for f here represents feoh in sound but not in sense.

<sup>83</sup> This introduces a speech.

<sup>84</sup> Read suð.

<sup>85 =</sup> domum, cf. leason, 77r.

<sup>86</sup> In bottom margin.

<sup>87</sup> In space at right.

<sup>\*\*</sup> In bottom margin preceded by  $\hbar = gnea\delta nesse$ .

<sup>89</sup> Two spaces above. 90 Read gongende. 91 MS. tenorum.

91v	reliquias lafe 92	198, 15		tonitrua	ðunur	210, 25
	gerulos rendwricon	98		fixa 99 men	te faeste	
		198, 20		$\mathbf{mode}$		210, 27
	eius hire	198, 23		terrigenas	eorðbend	les 100
	inptiet dæle	198, 28				211, 1
	coaceruet gehæpie 9	4	98v	examen	suearm 101	214, 5
		198, 29	99r	cogit (con	cilium)	
	siue optimatib:			gesomne	de	214, 20
	galdrmonnum 95	199, 14	99v	contentus	ðoncful	216, 4
94r	accitum gelaðadne	96		credite 6	des het [enai	n] <sup>102</sup>
	· ·	202, 11				216, 4
94v	pontifici papan	202, 17	100r	conubium	hæmid	217, 1
	ciliciae 97 ealonde	202, 24		incestum	unclaenes	217, 1
	ob id forðon	202, 31		matrimonie	o to hæm	ds- <sup>103</sup>
	quo in ðem	203, 5				217,4
	per terram londfere	d[e]	102v	mutauit	wrixlade	223, 9
	-	203, 11	102v	decocta	g[e]soden	223, 13
	maior domus alder	mon		careret	ðolade	223, 15
		203, 15	103r	excerpsim	ıs we	
95r	ad adducendum to			atucceda	n 104	224, 17
	gefeccenne	203, 26	104r	sarcofagun	n ðrug	226, 32
	suspicabatur weend	.e		palmi fo	olme	227, 1
		203, 32		dolantes	heawende	227, 2
	legationem erende	203, 33		digitorum	fingra	227, 3
97v	corusci legetscer 98			ceruical	pylwere 105	
		210, 24				227, 14

<sup>92</sup> In top margin.

 $<sup>^{98} = \</sup>alpha rendwrecan.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> Gehæpie for geheapie perhaps owes its vocalism to the fact that the glossator had just written dæle.

 $<sup>^{95} =</sup> ge \ aldormonnum.$ 

<sup>96</sup> In bottom margin.

<sup>97</sup> Apparently taken by glossator as sicilia.

<sup>98</sup> The ending scer I take to be metathesis of resc; cf. ligetræsc and ligræsc, BT.

<sup>99</sup> MS. uixa.

 $<sup>= \</sup>dot{eor} \delta buendas.$ 

<sup>101</sup> Under the Latin.

<sup>102 =</sup> des hatenan; cf. credita da bebodenan, WW. 533, 12.

<sup>103</sup> Probably to hæmdscipe.

<sup>104\*</sup> Preterite of atwiccian, cf. BT. twiccian carpere and note to adaeglad, 8r.

<sup>105</sup> Cf. ceruical pylewer, OEG., 56, 16 and note; also Napier's etymology of pillow in Mod. Lang. Quarterly for 1897, p. 52. He has cited a 12th cent. pulewar and a 15th cent. peloware and considered the ending wer to be ON. uer a cover. Beside OE. pyle there existed also pylu

104v	fedaret afylde 108	228, 10	116r exponebant reehtan
105v	emulari onhyrgan	107	260, 20
		231, 6	negotio by bibode 113
	inedia fæstenne	231, 15	260, 23
	aruis wongum 108	231, 20	116v eucharistiam husul 114
	annus wæsmum	231, 20	261, 33
106r	peritia gelæredniss	se 109	praescius foregewis 115
		231, 28	262, 19
	amplitudinis braed	u	117r aduertere 116 ongeotan
		232, 11	262, 26
	fundae liðran	232, 12	transigeret oferleorde
107r	bini aestus tuegan		263, 6
	s[æs]	238, 17	artioris hardran 263, 7
	ultra hostium 110		obuenerat gelomp 263, 8
	ofer ðone muðan	238, 19	eius commisso his
	exordium est wæs		gefremenisse $^{117}$ 263, 17
	lidene 111	239, 4	poccupando forecu-
108v	contagiis bismitnis	se	meme <sup>118</sup> 263, 19
		242, 12	117v descripta ascrife-
109r	amita faðu	243, 28	num <sup>119</sup> 263, 34
	operculo	245, 4	(aedificia) puplica
110r	tumorem suile	245, 16	uel priuata ða
	humor wete	245, 17	heahan ge ða les-
			san <sup>120</sup> 264, 21

(OEG. 29, 4) and, as Napier has stated, very probably genitive and dative forms pylwes and pylwe. From pylu and \*pylwe, forms in r such as pylwer may have arisen by analogy with two OE. words for pillow which end in r, re: bolster and wangere.

<sup>106</sup> In space at right.

<sup>107</sup> Under the Latin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> Above annus. Gloss to annus is in space at left.

<sup>109</sup> In space at right.

<sup>110</sup> Ed. ostium.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> In bottom margin with arrow scratched to line containing lemma, which the glossator evidently mistook for *exortum est*.

<sup>112 =</sup> hlide; cf. note to hele, 6r.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mbox{\scriptsize 113}}$  Suscepto negotio refers to an urgent demand that has been made, hence bibode.

<sup>114</sup> In bottom margin.

<sup>115\*</sup> In bottom margin. Cf. prescius forewis, WW. 464, 31.

<sup>116</sup> MS. adfertere.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> = gefremednisse; cf. commissum gefremed, WW. 364, 32.

<sup>118</sup> Read forecumende.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118\*</sup> Cf. BT. scrifan with prefix for, ge. See note to adaeglad, 8r. The analogous awritan is documented in BT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> In the OE. History this passage reads ge pa maran ge pa mætran, GW. Bibliothek 4, 498a, 10.

118r in commessationum		122r foedere firð 124 274, 10
in oferwesnesse 121	265, 14	obtutib: ægū <sup>125</sup> 274, 22
texendis wefendum	265, 18	sodalis geðoftan 274, 27
sui status hera hada	ıs	122v atq: pomorū gofata 126
	265, 20	276, 3
multati 122 slægene	265,34	123v uix tandem þeh
118v luerent awogan 123	266, 24	uneðelice <sup>127</sup> 278, 11
119v profanabant aidlad	lon	innitens wreethende
	269, 18	278, 14
fylacteria lyfesne	269, 21	artus leomo 278, 26
120r ad eius imperium to	)	sustentans wreethende
his bibode	269, 29	278, 26
ac rusticitate 🏻 ō mid		124r probante gebetende 279, 12
ungelærednisse	270, 7	pigmentorum wyrta
ebdomedę wican	270, 10	279, 26
120v et simplici oration	e	fomentis beðenum <sup>128</sup>
ond mid anfal[da]	n	279, 27
[tr]umnisse	271, 7	abscidendum to
tellus folde	271, 22	nsiðenne <sup>129</sup> 279, 27
uenę eðre	271, 23	124v fefellit gelehag 130
121v opem frofre	273, 23	280, 24

<sup>121\*</sup> The glossator seems not to have noticed that in governs a following cubilia and that commessationum is genitive. Cf. comesationibus oferetum, Kent. Glos. 888; commessatores i. wesan oppe eteras, Kent. Glos. 1044 where Zupitza compares ealowōsa and remarks wēsa scheint sich zu wōs liquor zu verhalten wie zb. myrðra zu morðor. An adjectival form wése is documented once in BT. 1210: onuppan ðám sý gedón wæta, ðæt hí þearle wel wése beón. From this with suffix a the noun of agency wēsa and with suffix ness the abstract noun wēsness would be grammatically normal developments. In semantics Mod. Eng. soaked and a soak are comparable. The formation of oferwesnesse, in which the prefix seems excessive, may likely have been influenced by oferæt. In the OE. History comessationum is rendered by oferæta and ofermettas, GW. Bibliothek 4. 501ab. 1.

<sup>122</sup> Taken by glossator as mulcati.

 $<sup>^{123} =</sup> abwogan$ . See note to ungeweran 52r. Luerent here means suffered but the glossator has taken it as from luo I wash.

<sup>124</sup> In margin at right.

 $<sup>^{125} =</sup> eagum.$ 

 $<sup>=</sup> ge \ of ata.$ 

<sup>127</sup> In bottom margin. Tandem was perhaps taken as tamen.

<sup>128</sup> This passage in the OE. History reads mid behenum, GW. Bibliothek 4, 545a, 9. Aside from these two citations the word is documented with stem-ending ng, bedung, English beathing. Hall's Dictionary, 3d edition, gives beden = bedung.

<sup>129</sup> Read to snidenne.

<sup>=</sup> geleah.

126r educatus est wæs	129r exptus sū ic wæs
gelered <sup>131</sup> 281, 12	onfunden 289, 12
neq: remigio ne ða	mortis dea[des] 289, 13
geroðor <sup>132</sup> 281, 19	et $^{138}$ amplam $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$
conamine tolene 138 282, 3	289, 19
fragore – ðy gebrece 282, 8	laici laede men 289, 21
126v tumida 🏻 ða aðundnan	129v contendere flitan 290,7
282, 13	cespite tenui 🏻 ðynre
secundi ða gesyngan <sup>134</sup>	tyrf 290, 15
282, 15	pollici <b>ð</b> uman 290, 20
intercapedo first 282, 21	reuiuesco ic wæs ednew
nemore raro mid	290, 25
bearwum medmiclum	uomebam ic spau 290, 26
283, 7	scrupulo hincan 291, 11
127r et 135 scabiem ō hreofle	130r emicranii ðære
283, 24	habudpannan 189 291, 24
ac furfures o scyrf 283, 24	uitali unda
de mento bi öære cyne 186	mid ðy liiflican se 291, 28
284, 1	130v fixa fæst 293, 3
127v et reuma on[d] flod 285, 28	exuuias wælreaf 293, 8
opido suiðe 285, <b>30</b>	proceres aldormen 293, 8
128r nihil prorsus in cu-	moenia wallas 293, 8
bito flexionis stið æ	lares fyr 293, 8
in hellenbogan <sup>137</sup> 286, 5	liquit læfde 293, 10
128v carere dolian 287, 25	meras – ða luttran 140
conualescas truma 288, 17	293, 12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>181</sup> MS. *⊳ægelered*.

<sup>132\*</sup> This seems to be a collective noun meaning the oars, formed from rovor with collective prefix ge, analogous to gebrovor compared with brovor. Aplustra geroevro, WW. 6, 14 and aplustra gerepro, 357, 15 show a different vocalism.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>188</sup> The ending ne of the gloss is by attraction from conamine.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup> Read gesyndigan. In the OE. History secundi is translated gesundige, gesyndge, GW. Bibliothek 4, 553a, 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>185</sup> In ligature in MS.

<sup>136</sup> Cyne is so faint in the manuscript that the reading is uncertain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>187</sup> In the MS. there is no division between the four OE. words.  $\alpha = a$ , adverb expressing the emphasis of the Lat. prorsus. Cf. the various meanings under a in BT. Sup. For initial h in hellenbogan see note to hele, 6r.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>138</sup> In ligature in MS.

<sup>180</sup> Emicranii is less correctly rendered in the OE. History: he pa tolysdan gepeodnesse minre heafudwunde gesette 7 wrive, GW. Bibliothek 4, 581a, 7, for the Latin dissolutam mihi emicranii iuncturam componere atque alligare.

<sup>140 =</sup> hluttran. See note to hele, 6r.

	splendificumq: iubar		135v	intercapidine firstmea	ırce
	done scinendan leor	man		•	305, 13
		293, 13		baratri ðæs seaðes	305, 33
	fluit glideð 141	293, 14		cerno ic sceawede	305, 33
	rediuiue [ð]æs	ŕ		cum fumo mid rece	306, 1
	edcuican 141	293, 15	136r	flammiuoma speow	ende
	rabiem rifnisse 142	293, 16	1001	nummiuomu speen	306, 23
	suppremo of \( \dag{\pi} \)em	ŕ		putidum ful	306, 25
	yfemestan	293, 23		angebant nerwad	306, 26
	freta brimas	293, 24		forcipib: tongan	
	sociabilis geðeodlic	293, 27		quid aweht	306, 31
	sceptrorum onwald			exemtum ōweg alae	
	•	293, 29		caemium oneg unue	307, 7
131r	hic sacer des alga 1	•		flagrantia blaete[si	,
	0	295, 9		nagranota biaccels.	307, 16
	pelasga ā grecisce	295, 10		uernantium growin	•
	disseruit segde	295, 12		dernamentam grown	307, 17
	limpida đa lutran 1	44		flosculorum blostm	•
	•	295, 12		200041014111	307, 17
	scandens stigende	295, 16	196	conventicula	001, 11
132r	idoneis gehyyðum	296, 24	1904	gesomnunge	307, 23
	aratra sylh	297, 32		flagrantia bl[æt]es	
	tramitem stige	298, 1		nagrancia bilæcjes	307, 32
132v	citeriorem fresiam			rebar demende 147	307, 34
	norð fresan	299, 8		horrenda fræce 148	
133r	uilici gerefan	299, 27			300, 11
	suspecti sunt habiti		137 v	uda atq: algida oa	
	weran onmunenne 1	45		wetan ō ða caldan	
		300, 11		austeriora reðran	310, 30

vacant spot into which were crowded two glosses: glidedexsedan. The adjective edcwic is documented once in BT. Sup., edcwicum redivivis.

142\* Noun formation from adjective rīf rapacious. BT. Sup. cites forms such as hrifra and rifista under hrife(?) and compares gehrifnian. But the initial h is probably secondary. Falk-Torp (2, 905) are inclined to take ags. rīf gewaltig, anord. rīfr heftig as cognate with rive (reissen) and hence to IE. root reip. Walde-Pokorny (2, 345) give ags. rīf, anord. rīfr under IE. root rei (reip) but give gehrifnian under IE. sqer, qer, 2, 586.

<sup>143 =</sup> halga. See note to hele, 6r.

<sup>=</sup> hlutran.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>145</sup> The glossator has taken suspecti as respected, but it here means mistrusted.

<sup>146</sup> Read growendra.

<sup>147</sup> At the right.

<sup>148</sup> Above rigidis. Read fræcne.

138r ne exprobrarent sibi	e regione onefn 158 318, 5
ðy læs heo hine	ad eurum on ða
abetigen 149 311,	19 suðeasthalfe 159 318, 6
enormis unm[aet]re 150	ferramentorum
312,	14 ðæra tola 318, 10
$138v$ et $^{151}$ in cumulum $\bar{0}$	141r uitib: wyngeardum
hin heapunga 312,	23 318, 26
obnubilauit ofer-	olivis $^{160}$ elebe $am$ um
mistede <sup>152</sup> 313,	18 318, 26
139r uel saltim oððe	procella storm 161 319, 11
ænge ðing 153 314,	27 141v metropolis aldorbu <i>rh</i>
protomartyr se aerista	319, 15
314,	,
140r gnarus ungewis 154 316,	
140v uallibus 155 wallum 317,	11 haut longe noht feor
humili medmicle 317,	12 319, 21
muro walle 317,	
semiantrum scræf 317,	1 0
infra bineðan <sup>156</sup> 317,	28 319, 28
interim sume <b>ö</b> rage <sup>157</sup>	142v uenustatis fegernisse
317,	322, 8
rotunda seonewald 318,	l repedantes feðende 322, 25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149\*</sup> Documented without the prefix, beteón, BT. 94. See note to adae-glad, 8r. The verb here preserves its Class I conjugation and has not fallen in with  $t\bar{e}on\ to\ draw$ .

<sup>150</sup> Under the Latin.

<sup>151</sup> In ligature.

<sup>152\*</sup> Documented without prefix, mistian, BT. 692.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> For this adverbial use of ænge öing see quo quo modo aengeþinga, Corp. Glos. 100, 75 (ed. Hessels); quoquomodo aengi þinga, Ep. Glos. p. 21 (ed. Schlutter) and NED. 3 under anything.

<sup>154</sup> Evidently the glossator was thinking of ignarus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>155</sup> Taken by glossator as if from uallum.

<sup>156</sup> MS. bindan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>157</sup> Cf. interim prage, WW. 26, 30 and for a similar adverbial construction, partim sumedaeli, Ep. Glos. p. 18 (ed. Schlutter).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>158</sup> E regione is used adverbially meaning in a direct line, directly: introitus per tres e regione parietes habet. NED. cites anent adverbially only as opposite but the idea of in a direct line appears once in a dialectical prepositional use: A cricket-ball in a line with the wicket is anent it, Al under anent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>159\*</sup> The lack of inflectional ending in the first part shows this to be a compound; cf. súpeástende, súphealf, BT. 939.

<sup>160</sup> MS. olibis.

<sup>161</sup> Beneath the Latin.

143r animaduertit ong	geat	146r rutilo auro re	ade
	323, 11	golde	330, 17
auunculi <sup>162</sup> eame	es 323, 23	periclis frecennes	ssum
143v maturitate ri[p]:	nisse		330, 25
	324, 5	146v multifario monig	r-
144v fauonio westerne		heoulice 163	007.75
144 laudillo westerlie	wina	neounce	331, 17
144V ladomo westerne	326, 12		331, 17 hu[s]

In a blank space at the end of book three and also in one at the end of book four where are some of the glosses published by Sweet in *OET*.<sup>164</sup> there are a few rather corrupt scratched glosses.<sup>165</sup>

93v foticompos 166 wilful 167

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup> MS. abunculi.

<sup>163</sup> MS. monigheoulie. = monigheowlice, analogous to monighealdlic; cf. [mæni]hiwan multiformem, Sup. under manighiwe(?).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>164</sup> The remark in *OET*. p. 179 that these glosses (i. e. the inked ones) are written in the blank spaces at the end of each book is hardly correct as there are no inked glosses at the ends of books 1, 2, 3, and 5. Those on 5r, 34v and 60v (present foliation) are in spaces at the ends of tables of chapters preceding books 1, 2, and 3, and only those on 124v come at the end of a book.

<sup>185</sup> Zupitza has noted (ZfdA. 31, 28) that the Latin words of the inked glosses which Sweet published from this MS. are to be found in Bede's History 1, 10-22. The source of these scratched glosses is somewhat doubtful, as these seven words might be found in the works of various pertinent Latin writers. Besides cum, which could probably be found anywhere, in Bede's History occur uoti compos (Plummer 302, 3); proprie, 335, 8 and elsewhere; gerulis, 198, 13; ruderibus, 211, 13. The forms flagitat and protemnentes do not occur in Bede's History. Flagitat occurs in Aldhelm's De Laudibus Virginitatis (Giles, 69, 35 and 79, 9) and here too occurs a word for which I believe the glossator wrote protemnentes, namely portendentes in the context ruinam et regalis monarchiae discrimen portendentes, 22, 16. The great number of OE. glosses to this work of Aldhelm makes it a likely source.

<sup>166</sup> Read uoti compos.

<sup>187\*</sup> BT. has only the adverbial form wilfullice and NED. gives wilful as not recorded in OE. Judging from the lemma, wilful here has a meaning with which none of those given in NED. quite agrees. Here it means full of one's will in the sense of satisfied, having attained one's desire. Cf. uoti compos wilfægen, WW. 502, 35; uoti compos wiltipe, OEG. 2219; uoti compotibus blipum, OEG. 809. As in several cases in the MS. the glossator has misunderstood his lemma, it seems probable that he did not quite understand it here.

proprie <sup>168</sup> sundra flagitat baeed <sup>169</sup> 124v protemnentes <sup>168</sup> forðaelihicgand[e] <sup>170</sup> cum [m]iö<sup>171</sup> gerulis ierendwrif<sup>171</sup> ruderib: myxsennum<sup>172</sup>

HERBERT MERITT.

<sup>168</sup> pro in ligature in MS.

<sup>169</sup> Read baedeb.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>170\*</sup> Read for obealohic gande. Above ae are two small marks which may be meant as a correction to ea. BT. gives one documentation of the simplex with the meaning intending evil: bealohycgendra, Beowulf 2565.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>171</sup> The MS. reads: cumidlisierendwrif and beneath this is gerulis. Ierendwrif is probably a corruption of ærendwrican, glossing gerulis and the first five letters are probably for cum mid. The following lis I take to be the ending of gerulis, as if the glossator had written only lis at first and had put the whole word below.

 $<sup>^{172}</sup>$  MS.  $myx^sennum$ . The s above, which in glosses in Continental MSS. often signifies saxonice, is here surely part of the word.